



Tunnels, Bridges & Terminals Department

Outerbridge Crossing - Main Span Pier and Fender Upgrades - Contract AKO-284.051

RESPONSE#5 TO QUESTIONS FROM BIDDERS

Updated: February 13, 2018

QUES	DATE	QUESTION	RESPONSE
001a	01/22/18	We request an extension of the bid deadline for "Contract No. AKO-284.051 – Outerbridge Crossing."	See Addendum No. 1 dated 1/26/18.
001b		Are the bid documents available yet?	For availability of contract documents, see the Port Authority's website: http://www.panynj.gov/business-opportunities/bid-proposal-advertisements.html
002	01/30/18	formally requests a four (4) week extension to the current bid due-date of Feb 15, 2018 on the above referenced project for the following rational:	See Addendum No. 3 dated 2/5/18.
		1. Since the project was initially advertised on January 18, 2018, , Inc. has been diligently working with the PANYNJ at completing the required Information Security Requirements and as well as Safe Worker Access Consortium Requirements. We anticipate having a completed the required submittals for this within the next 24 hours as we are now sending our nominated Security Information Manager to NY to complete the SWAC Process.	
		2. It is understood that the bid package cannot be released to Inc. until such time as the complete review and approval of the information security requirements and SWAC are completed.	
		3. Given a review period by PANYNJ for the ISR and SWAC and the subsequent release of the bid package to Cashman, it is anticipated that insufficient time will be remaining to put together a compliant and competitive response, given the current bid due date of Feb 15.	
003		Inc. is currently under contract with the PANYNJ on a maintenance dredging contract and has worked for the PANYNJ in the past on previous contracts. We have enjoyed a very positive working relationship with the PANYNJ and appreciate that this specific contract has a heightened security requirement and we certainly will comply, but feel that it is only fair to give some additional time to evaluate the bid package considering the pre-bid release security requirements.	
004		Good afternoon. Thank you for your assistance in guiding us in the right direction for receiving our approvals to bid this work. As you know, the SWAC approval process isn't an overnight event and will take anywhere from 1 ½ - 2 weeks. That is the reason I am writing you this email. would like to officially request a 4 week postponement of the bid date. We will bid the job once approved but not sure we can do so with the short time frame that is now allotted. We hopeful that you will consider our request. Thank you for your time.	See Addendum No. 3 dated 2/5/18.
005	02/01/18	1) We have recently been approved to bid on the referenced project. Due to the size and complexity of the project, we request an extension of 2 weeks to the bid opening of February 15 th . to allow us to get the required information to vendors and subcontractors in order to put a comprehensive bid together.	See Addendum No. 3 dated 2/5/18.
006a	02/01/18	1) On page 2 of 2 on the "Analysis of Bid", of the spec. book, Items 15 and 17 (Pier D Floatable Walkway) appear to be the same. Please confirm.	See Notice to Bidders dated 2/5/18.
006b		2) On Drawing S106, "Existing Timber Fender System" shows the existing timber fender attached to the steel cofferdam with SS studs. Our question is: Can the existing SS studs that appear to be welded on the steel cofferdam be re-used to attach the new composite fender system?	Refer to Note 2. On Contract Drawing S106.

QUES	DATE	QUESTION	RESPONSE
007		Under the Special Conditions within the Permitting section of the specifications and Note B) on G005, states, that if there is a presence of nesting falcon, no work is permitted between the period of March 1 st through July 15 th . Our Question Is: 1) will this time of no work be added to the contract period for completion? Also, if the contractor is required to stop work due to the falcon nesting, will the contractor be compensated for demobilization and remobilization of the contractor's operation?	Refer to the first sentence of Note 3. on Contract Drawing G005, the Contract Book, Page 49, Clause 37, and Page 150, Section 112.A.1."
008a	02/08/18	1. What is the thickness of the 4"x4" angles used on the cofferdam fender system, sheet S106?	See Reference Dwg. No. S-2 of Contract No. AKO-159.
008b		2. What is the size of the splice channel in the "wale splice detail" on sheet S107?	No change to Contract Drawing. Bid as shown.
008c		3. Are the holes countersunk in the in the composite timber fender, at the pile connection bolts?	This will be answered by Addendum.
008d		4. Is the Authority aware that with the 4" and 11" vertical spacing between rows of the composite timber wale, the splice bolts cannot be easily removed and replaced for maintenance purposes?	No change to Contract Drawing. Bid as shown.
009a	02/09/18	1) Note 4 on G005 states "The USCG may require the contractor to clear the navigational channel to accommodate the passage of Large Ships". How will the contractor get compensated for moving his operation?	Refer to the Contract Book, Page 150, Section 112.A.1.
010		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The response to question 6b states to refer to note 2 on contract drawing S106. Note 2 on S106 states "the contractor shall use the same attachment details to attach the composite fenders to cofferdam." This note is not clear as to whether the studs should be reused or new. Please clarify, should the studs be reused or do new studs need to be installed. 	This will be answered by Addendum.